National Anti-Slavery Standard.

VOL. XXIII. NO. 25. NEW YORK, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1862. WHOLE NO. 1,169.

National Anti-Slavery Standard,

PUBLISHED WEEKLY, ON SATURDAY,

AT TWO DOLLARS PER ANNUM,

BY THE

AMERICAN ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

At its Office, No. 48 Beekman Street, New York,

AND AT THE OFFICE OF THE

PENNSYLVANIA ANTI-SLAVERY SOCIETY,

106 *North-Tenth Street, Philadelphia.*

Letters for publication, or relating in any way to the editorial conduct of the paper, should be addressed, "Editor of the National Anti-Slavery Standard, New York." Letters enclosing subscriptions, or relating in any way to the business affairs of the paper, should be addressed, "Publisher of the National Anti-Slavery Standard, New York."

ADVERTISEMENTS, 10 cents per line each insertion.

Selections.

One of the most extraordinary spectacles of this whole war is now presented to the nation. The Commander-in-Chief of the armies of the United States does not carry out his most earnest wishes because the Generals under him silently and practically refuse to move!

The whole North implores military activity. The army frets for service. The President is known earnestly and urgently to desire a vigorous Autumn campaign. Yet, the prospect now is, *that our army will go into winter-quarters on the Potomac!* Why? Major Key told the world, in the Battle of Bull Run, that Democrats and Peace Generals to weary out both sides in this war, and then, upon that exhaustion, to seduce treacherous peace, more dangerous than war!

The signs of this intention are many. Excuses for inaction multiply. There are not troops enough; or they need drill; or they wait for shoes, clothes, and arms. Through these miserable screens every one can see the real reason, that Generals do not mean to fight. Major Key was dismissed the service for telling the truth. There is reason to believe that it was no tattle of the camp, but the design of the council board, that he repeated. What he was punished for saying, the Commanding Generals are allowed to do, with impunity! Six months are to be added to this great and grievous war because the Commander-in-Chief is unable to make his Generals obey his commands and fulfil his wishes!

What will be the effect of this delay?

1. It will bring upon the army the most serious evils. It will consume more men by disease than would perish by incessant battles. It will discourage its men. They do not propose to become professional soldiers. They wish to end this conflict and return to their peaceful pursuits. This dilatory war, with occasional acute eruptions, lapsing into congestion, depresses their vital powers, wastes their spirits, disgusts them with the camp, induces vast numbers of desertions, debauches the morals of those who remain, by the inevitable vices of the camp. Next in evil to utter defeat is a winter of inaction! Nor will the men come out in the Spring as well drilled as when they went into camp. Six months of gentlemanly drill in Winter-quarters is not worth one fortnight's drill in the face of an enemy.

2. By such shameful delays, every opportunity is given to foreign nations to interfere and and meddle with our affairs. We have no right to complain. Every nation on the globe has a moral right to insist upon it that we shall not trifle with rebellion and play with war, while their laborers are groaning and suffering for want of cotton—cruelty to the South, to the world, and to Europe—which, in the end, will be arraigned before the public sentiment of Christendom. No measure can be assigned to the guilt of those who prolong this war, which wastes the substance of thousands at home, and starves its tens of thousands abroad.

If once a foreign government becomes entangled with the South, we may look for a long period of terrible wars, such as have made almost every acre of Europe rich with the blood of men.

3. Going into Winter-quarters will, in the present condition of affairs, and of public feeling, endanger the peace of all the loyal States.

There has been, and there is, a courage and a noble willingness to meet any suffering and loss of this great and inevitable war which slavery has forced upon loyal liberty. There is no danger in giving up the conflict, even if we are betrayed at home and assailed from abroad. Egypt is behind us; we will not return to it. The Red Sea and the Desert are before us. But the Promised Land is beyond it, and we will not be seduced from it by the many physicians, but are no better, seek every desperate remedy which avaricious quackery puts before them, so our people are in danger of failing into experiments which will bring upon them dangers even greater than we environ them. They turn to anybody that will promise well. The Administration has lost ground in the West, has just saved itself in Pennsylvania, and is on trial before New York. It is said, truly, that the drain of loyal voters, by the armies, in part accounts for the recent votes. But there is another reason. It is the voice of the nation saying to men in authority: "We have trusted you, and are disappointed; now we will try others."

For a year and a half men have laid aside party preferences, and united around the Administration. Now they are saying, "Why should we any longer hold our old party interests? The country is not benefited by sending the army into Winter-quarters. We cannot have public victories, why not go back to party victories?" Truly, why not, Mr. Seward? Peace Democrats are as well fitted for doing nothing as Administration men. Then plausible men, like Mr. Seymour, tell the people that they mean to uphold the Administration, they are sincere. They mean to uphold it in doing nothing. They mean to uphold it in sending the army into Winter-quarters, leaving the country for six months open to the underplottings, and at home a prey to political wranglings and bitterness.

Winter, that stops the growth of the vegetable kingdom, favors the growth of political weeds, parasites and fungi. Armies in Winter-quarters will be a gigantic hot-bed of mischief.

An opposition could not be founded against an Administration. It cannot be founded at [illegible] tion of two opposites. Men who [illegible] the South, and men who [illegible] ged with the unparalleled mis[illegible] war, will be harnessed to the [illegible] the Woods, the Valladighams, [illegible] mours, could consolidate a dangerous party, if they had not this rare opportunity of public dissatisfaction.

If we are defeated in New York, the reason will be found on the Potomac.

4. But there is a matter even more grave than any of these mentioned. It is but too evident that the President is not practically the Commander of the army. His wishes are neither respected nor carried out. It is notorious that subordinate functionaries in military affairs set aside his most pointed requests with almost contemptuous refusal; that his explicit orders are once and again deliberately disobeyed, and with entire impunity. It is equally well known that men are kept in high places who have ceased to have the President's confidence, and who are coolly determined to thwart his plans and to carry out their own. There is an utter want of military responsibility. It is boldly said by men who know, and who have no motive for misrepresentation, that there are men high in the army that ought to have been tried at a drum-head court-martial on the battle-field, and shot, for the most base and detestable disobedience of orders in the face of the enemy, for the very purpose of bringing defeat on our armies, lest a rival should, by a victory, become stronger.

But more may be a mute and latent insubordination to the President's orders, which shall be just as effectual as if it were open and avowed. What is the condition of a government where the President is held by an invisible enchantment; where the policy of the country is practically determined by a few military men who refuse to remove, or move with such sluggish steps as to prevent the very end they were set to accomplish?

In view of such facts, there is a solemn responsibility laid upon every Christian and every patriot in the North.

The election before us will be important as any battle. It may lead to speedy victory in the field, or it may be the first step in new complications of mischief.

There is an electric wire from every ballot-box in New York to Richmond. Every vote that is thrown away through discouragement or indifference is counted a vote gained there. Every vote for Seymour is a vote for Davis. Thousands may not mean it to be so, but thousands will vote on that very account. This plausible candidate will rally to support the present Administration very much as a philanthropic wolf would minister consolation to sheep. *He* in favor of vigorous warfare? It will be while every Democrat, A. war, on all fours and compromises! An army of white lilies led against scythes and sickles would be better fitted to win victories, than a party bearing the votes of the overawed secessionists, the muttering pro-slavery men, the hardly-veiled partisans of Jefferson Davis, the Valladighams, the Bennetts, the Brookses—to gain victories over the South.

Whatever men *mean*, votes for Seymour *are* votes for rebellion. They work for Richmond and not for Washington. They will injure every friend of the Union who shall read these lines to give his most earnest and ardent effort to the support of Mr. Lincoln and the government, by defeating men who carry a white flag hidden, to be unfurled the moment they have power.

And may we not invoke and beseech the President to give such tokens of energy in the campaign as shall enable his friends to maintain themselves before the people?

A few decisive acts of much-needed authority would save New York. Give the people evidence that there is life in earnest in the Cabinet and in the field, and they will crowd around the Administration and strike down all opposition. Without this stronger tender, who would betray the nation with a kiss. All our health and hope lie in immediate and thorough military activity. Without these the future is dark and stormy.—*H. W. Beecher, in The Independent.*

ENGLAND ON HER TRIAL.

DUBLIN, 74 Lower Mount Street, 22d Sept., 1862.

To the Editor of The Anti-Slavery Advocate.

MY DEAR SIR: I have read the article in the Leicestershire *Mercury*, and freely acknowledge the fair and truthful spirit in which it is written; nevertheless, it appears to me to be open, both in its reasoning and conclusion, to grave exception?

The writer represents the estrangement between the North and Great Britain as occasioned exclusively by Northern faults and short-comings. The people of this country were, he tells us, originally favorable to the Southern declaration of its success, but they have been alienated by the unreasonable violence and scurrility of the Northern press. I confess I think this account of the matter at once unfair and superficial; unfair, because it leaves wholly out of sight the provocation on the other side; and superficial, because it does not touch the more fundamental causes of the prevailing feeling. I will say a few words on both these points.

1. It is, perhaps, true, that at a very early stage of the business the majority of people in this country, so far as they had formed any opinion on the subject (which was to a very slight extent), were favorable to the North; but, on the other hand, there was always a considerable minority which felt the eagerness the prospect of a dissolution of the Union; and there was this difference between these two parties, that, while with the former the feeling was languid and found no distinct expression, with the latter it was strong, and was pronounced with the unmistakable emphasis. The writers of *The Times* and the *Saturday Review*, so early as April, 1861, were smiting but friendly towards the North, or rewarding the dissolution of its union; and even then in the habit of seeing the tory prints, but, judging from the line they have since taken, I cannot doubt that they were still more decidedly anti-Northern.

If, in point of fact, the sympathies of the two parties, that the Northern press turned upon us with no other provocation than our declaration of neutrality. Before that declaration had appeared, the press of this country had already powerfully swayed opinion on the inevitableness and desirableness of a separation; and, this being so, it was not unnatural that the Northern people should see in the declaration of neutrality (however reasonable that measure was in itself) a foregone conclusion unfavorable to them—a determination on the part of the government to sustain the views expressed by the press. The writer in *The Mercury* complains that "without waiting for the grounds of international law" on which the English government acted, the Northern people raised a cry of bitter anger. This was, doubtless, very unreasonable, but I think some allowance might be made for a nation in the throes of a great civil contest, by those who were in the midst of prosperity and peace criticize its conduct. Extreme sensitiveness to foreign opinion was, under such circumstances, not unnatural, more especially when it was felt that that opinion was a main element in the calculation of the rebels—when the belief of the South that king cotton would speedily bring English and French assistance had been loudly proclaimed. England, moreover, had been known as *par excellence* the slave-owning and slave-breeding nation; and if it was natural for the South to count upon the support of England on the score of cotton, it was not less natural—though perhaps somewhat more honorable to both parties—that the North should reckon on the good-will of England when engaged in the task of putting down a rebellion of slaveholders. It should be remembered, also, that the anti-British feeling of which *The Mercury* speaks was almost entirely confined to the more violent and scurrilous form, to a few Northern papers which were well known to be pro-slavery and Southern in their politics; a fact which the leaders of the British press, instead of recognizing and putting clearly before their readers (as the interests of truth required), deliberately and systematically kept out of sight. I would ask those who charge the whole Northern people with unprovoked hostility to Great Britain to reflect on the reception which, less than a month before the outbreak of the rebellion, had been given to the Prince of Wales by the Northern States—a reception which drew from *The Times* correspondent the observation that the one sentiment in which American readers have the interests of truth at heart, Queen Victoria. This, however, it was not now convenient to remember. It was resolved that the Union should be broken up; it was necessary for this end that the South should be encouraged and the North brought into contempt, and accordingly the papers which were selected and placed before the English people, as the true exponents of Northern views, were the New York *Herald* and *The Journal of Commerce*. Worse than this—putting out of sight the fact that the Constitution of the United States were composed for a long series of years of Southern men, those who favor the slave party in this country have endeavored (and they have succeeded) to fix their audience to make capital for the South out of the very repugnance and soreness which its own prolonged insolence towards this country had excited, turning against the North that feeling on which it had naturally counted as a bond of amity.

2. For these reasons I think the comments of *The Mercury* essentially unfair, but I also think them superficial; for does the writer really think that the feeling which prevails in this country on the American contest is sufficiently accounted for by newspaper articles? Does he learn its tone from the *Herald* and a few more papers? Had I no knowledge whatever of the facts, my opinion of English sense and temper would prevent me for a moment from giving credit to such a notion. If the writer in *The Mercury* would only read carefully a few of the distribes in *The Times*, *The Morning Post*, *The Saturday Review*, and, above all, those of the tory press, I can hardly doubt that he will discover a far deeper chord of sympathy with Southern aims than that which common hatred could furnish. Mere exasperation at low ribaldry never produced such unflagging energy of captious and trenchant criticism, such a sustained torrent or fierce, unsparing denunciation, as those papers have now for more than a twelve month poured forth.

No, the real cause lies deeper than this. It is to be found in the distaste for American institutions which has always inspired an influential portion of English society; but which Mr. Bright's unmerited abuse of the English aristocracy, and equally unmerited eulogy of the model republic, had, just before the American civil war broke out, brought to the point of positive disgust and hatred. It is to be found again in the serious (though, as I believe, quite unnecessary) apprehension of the growing might of the gigantic federation; and, lastly, it is (I fear to no inconsiderable extent) to be found in real liking for the social system of the South, or, if this be too strong a statement, at least in preference for it as an alternative to that of the Northern States; for I am by no means of the opinion of the writer in *The Mercury*, that the sympathy manifested in this country for the South is free from all taint of pro-slavery feeling. If the writer thinks so, let him look to the speeches and publications of Mr. Beresford Hope, to the article in *The Times*, and, last of all, to Mr. Woods, the Valladighams, the Bennetts, the Brookses—to gain victories over the South.

Whatever men *mean*, votes for Seymour *are* votes for rebellion. They work for Richmond and not for Washington. They will injure every friend of the Union who shall read these lines to give his most earnest and ardent effort to the support of Mr. Lincoln and the government, by defeating men who carry a white flag hidden, to be unfurled the moment they have power.

And may we not invoke and beseech the President to give such tokens of energy in the campaign as shall enable his friends to maintain themselves before the people?

A few decisive acts of much-needed authority would save New York. Give the people evidence that there is life in earnest in the Cabinet and in the field, and they will crowd around the Administration and strike down all opposition. Without this stronger tender, who would betray the nation with a kiss. All our health and hope lie in immediate and thorough military activity. Without these the future is dark and stormy.—*H. W. Beecher, in The Independent.*

ENGLAND ON HER TRIAL.

DUBLIN, 74 Lower Mount Street, 22d Sept., 1862.

To the Editor of The Anti-Slavery Advocate.

MY DEAR SIR: I have read the article in the Leicestershire *Mercury*, and freely acknowledge the fair and truthful spirit in which it is written; nevertheless, it appears to me to be open, both in its reasoning and conclusion, to grave exception?

The writer represents the estrangement between the North and Great Britain as occasioned exclusively by Northern faults and short-comings. The people of this country were, he tells us, originally favorable to the Southern declaration of its success, but they have been alienated by the unreasonable violence and scurrility of the Northern press. I confess I think this account of the matter at once unfair and superficial; unfair, because it leaves wholly out of sight the provocation on the other side; and superficial, because it does not touch the more fundamental causes of the prevailing feeling. I will say a few words on both these points.

1. It is, perhaps, true, that at a very early stage of the business the majority of people in this country, so far as they had formed any opinion on the subject (which was to a very slight extent), were favorable to the North; but, on the other hand, there was always a considerable minority which felt the eagerness the prospect of a dissolution of the Union; and there was this difference between these two parties, that, while with the former the feeling was languid and found no distinct expression, with the latter it was strong, and was pronounced with the unmistakable emphasis. The writers of *The Times* and the *Saturday Review*, so early as April, 1861, were smiting but friendly towards the North, or rewarding the dissolution of its union; and even then in the habit of seeing the tory prints, but, judging from the line they have since taken, I cannot doubt that they were still more decidedly anti-Northern.

If, in point of fact, the sympathies of the two parties, that the Northern press turned upon us with no other provocation than our declaration of neutrality. Before that declaration had appeared, the press of this country had already powerfully swayed opinion on the inevitableness and desirableness of a separation; and, this being so, it was not unnatural that the Northern people should see in the declaration of neutrality (however reasonable that measure was in itself) a foregone conclusion unfavorable to them—a determination on the part of the government to sustain the views expressed by the press. The writer in *The Mercury* complains that "without waiting for the grounds of international law" on which the English government acted, the Northern people raised a cry of bitter anger. This was, doubtless, very unreasonable, but I think some allowance might be made for a nation in the throes of a great civil contest, by those who were in the midst of prosperity and peace criticize its conduct. Extreme sensitiveness to foreign opinion was, under such circumstances, not unnatural, more especially when it was felt that that opinion was a main element in the calculation of the rebels—when the belief of the South that king cotton would speedily bring English and French assistance had been loudly proclaimed. England, moreover, had been known as *par excellence* the slave-owning and slave-breeding nation; and if it was natural for the South to count upon the support of England on the score of cotton, it was not less natural—though perhaps somewhat more honorable to both parties—that the North should reckon on the good-will of England when engaged in the task of putting down a rebellion of slaveholders. It should be remembered, also, that the anti-British feeling of which *The Mercury* speaks was almost entirely confined to the more violent and scurrilous form, to a few Northern papers which were well known to be pro-slavery and Southern in their politics; a fact which the leaders of the British press, instead of recognizing and putting clearly before their readers (as the interests of truth required), deliberately and systematically kept out of sight. I would ask those who charge the whole Northern people with unprovoked hostility to Great Britain to reflect on the reception which, less than a month before the outbreak of the rebellion, had been given to the Prince of Wales by the Northern States—a reception which drew from *The Times* correspondent the observation that the one sentiment in which American readers have the interests of truth at heart, Queen Victoria. This, however, it was not now convenient to remember. It was resolved that the Union should be broken up; it was necessary for this end that the South should be encouraged and the North brought into contempt, and accordingly the papers which were selected and placed before the English people, as the true exponents of Northern views, were the New York *Herald* and *The Journal of Commerce*. Worse than this—putting out of sight the fact that the Constitution of the United States were composed for a long series of years of Southern men, those who favor the slave party in this country have endeavored (and they have succeeded) to fix their audience to make capital for the South out of the very repugnance and soreness which its own prolonged insolence towards this country had excited, turning against the North that feeling on which it had naturally counted as a bond of amity.

2. For these reasons I think the comments of *The Mercury* essentially unfair, but I also think them superficial; for does the writer really think that the feeling which prevails in this country on the American contest is sufficiently accounted for by newspaper articles? Does he learn its tone from the *Herald* and a few more papers? Had I no knowledge whatever of the facts, my opinion of English sense and temper would prevent me for a moment from giving credit to such a notion. If the writer in *The Mercury* would only read carefully a few of the distribes in *The Times*, *The Morning Post*, *The Saturday Review*, and, above all, those of the tory press, I can hardly doubt that he will discover a far deeper chord of sympathy with Southern aims than that which common hatred could furnish. Mere exasperation at low ribaldry never produced such unflagging energy of captious and trenchant criticism, such a sustained torrent or fierce, unsparing denunciation, as those papers have now for more than a twelve month poured forth.

No, the real cause lies deeper than this. It is to be found in the distaste for American institutions which has always inspired an influential portion of English society; but which Mr. Bright's unmerited abuse of the English aristocracy, and equally unmerited eulogy of the model republic, had, just before the American civil war broke out, brought to the point of positive disgust and hatred. It is to be found again in the serious (though, as I believe, quite unnecessary) apprehension of the growing might of the gigantic federation; and, lastly, it is (I fear to no inconsiderable extent) to be found in real liking for the social system of the South, or, if this be too strong a statement, at least in preference for it as an alternative to that of the Northern States; for I am by no means of the opinion of the writer in *The Mercury*, that the sympathy manifested in this country for the South is free from all taint of pro-slavery feeling. If the writer thinks so, let him look to the speeches and publications of Mr. Beresford Hope, to the article in *The Times*, and, last of all, to Mr. Woods, the Valladighams, the Bennetts, the Brookses—to gain victories over the South.

The Northerners themselves admit that secondary position. The Union is the one supreme object of their efforts and aspirations. Even the Abolitionists, the section at least represented by Mr. Wendell Phillips, would put their old war cry, "No Union with slaveholders," in abeyance. The great principle that slavery is *per se* an evil is subordinate to the political compact called the Union. Mr. Lincoln tells the free colored people they are a nuisance, and will not wantonly disturb the State pride, and, with such auspices, a movement has already commenced to locate them in one of the South American republics. So that the last claim which the North could fairly urge on the sympathies of England—its desire to do justice to the colored race, and favor the emancipation of the slaves—it has officially renounced. The prolongation of the contest has further developed the inherent viciousness of the original compact which constituted the Federal Government of the United States. From the outset, the cancer of slavery was felt, and attempts were made to soothe it into quietude. But men of the prescience of Washington and Jefferson foresaw, and the latter foretold, the inevitable results of the attempt to combine light with darkness, to yoke the bond and the free together. The disease, curable only by eradication, has come to its natural head, and broken out in the fierce war now raging, and we effects—the cause of all this? We see it already keenly feeling. The question of tariffs, maintained by the North to the detriment of the South, is but one of the many aggravations of the main sore, insufficient of itself to lead to the terrible issue.

England is deeply concerned in the issue of the war, not as a safe spectator, but as a vital participant in the ultimate results. Wisely have we stood neutral, and nobly have our working classes borne their unmerited share of the loss and misery produced by the conflict of the two sections of the Union. England stands in danger from the corruption of her great sentiments on the subject of human liberty. Her sympathy with the South will prove a curse to both, and degenerate into acquiescence with the wicked institution which has given birth to the war. Great sentiments of right are more to a people than great commerce and the wealth it produces. If we barter these away for profit, what better are we than traders in flesh and blood? The celebration of Nonconformity on St. Bartholomew's day derived all its real value and significance from the testimony it bore to human liability to suffer for truth, as an indication that a limit was to be placed on the further extension of slavery. Now, if this was a just ground of satisfaction (as the writer seems to hold) I think Mr. Lincoln and the North may fairly ask from us a like avowal of the principles of English liberty, and since occurred in the course of the Federal government to diminish the satisfaction which was then felt? Is it the abolition of slavery in Columbia? or the measure for its exclusion from the Territories? or the new free State? What is it? Where is the fact or thing occurred to show that the Republican party are prepared to sanction the extension of slavery? and if not, why should England withdraw her sympathies from the party to which, on the ground assigned, she gave them? Mr. Lincoln and his friends do not declare that "slavery is *per se* an evil," and proceed at once to legislate on this basis. But the Republican party never made this declaration, never proposed to interfere with the slavery of the Southern States. They proposed merely to limit slavery —to put down slavery so far as that could be done consistently with maintaining the existing Constitution; that was their position from the start; and if that was a sufficient reason for our loss of the moral support at the Presidential election, surely the reasons for this are not diminished when a firm adherence to their principle has drawn upon them the terrible calamity of a civil war. In short, it comes to this: that the writers of *The Saturday Review* have smitten but friendly towards the North, or rewarding the dissolution of its union; and even then in the habit of seeing the tory prints, but, judging from the line they have since taken, I cannot doubt that they were still more decidedly anti-Northern.

If, in point of fact, the sympathies of the two parties, that the Northern press turned upon us with no other provocation than our declaration of neutrality. Before that declaration had appeared, the press of this country had already powerfully swayed opinion on the inevitableness and desirableness of a separation; and, this being so, it was not unnatural that the Northern people should see in the declaration of neutrality (however reasonable that measure was in itself) a foregone conclusion unfavorable to them—a determination on the part of the government to sustain the views expressed by the press. The writer in *The Mercury* complains that "without waiting for the grounds of international law" on which the English government acted, the Northern people raised a cry of bitter anger. This was, doubtless, very unreasonable, but I think some allowance might be made for a nation in the throes of a great civil contest, by those who were in the midst of prosperity and peace criticize its conduct. Extreme sensitiveness to foreign opinion was, under such circumstances, not unnatural, more especially when it was felt that that opinion was a main element in the calculation of the rebels—when the belief of the South that king cotton would speedily bring English and French assistance had been loudly proclaimed. England, moreover, had been known as *par excellence* the slave-owning and slave-breeding nation; and if it was natural for the South to count upon the support of England on the score of cotton, it was not less natural—though perhaps somewhat more honorable to both parties—that the North should reckon on the good-will of England when engaged in the task of putting down a rebellion of slaveholders. It should be remembered, also, that the anti-British feeling of which *The Mercury* speaks was almost entirely confined to the more violent and scurrilous form, to a few Northern papers which were well known to be pro-slavery and Southern in their politics; a fact which the leaders of the British press, instead of recognizing and putting clearly before their readers (as the interests of truth required), deliberately and systematically kept out of sight. I would ask those who charge the whole Northern people with unprovoked hostility to Great Britain to reflect on the reception which, less than a month before the outbreak of the rebellion, had been given to the Prince of Wales by the Northern States—a reception which drew from *The Times* correspondent the observation that the one sentiment in which American readers have the interests of truth at heart, Queen Victoria. This, however, it was not now convenient to remember. It was resolved that the Union should be broken up; it was necessary for this end that the South should be encouraged and the North brought into contempt, and accordingly the papers which were selected and placed before the English people, as the true exponents of Northern views, were the New York *Herald* and *The Journal of Commerce*. Worse than this—putting out of sight the fact that the Constitution of the United States were composed for a long series of years of Southern men, those who favor the slave party in this country have endeavored (and they have succeeded) to fix their audience to make capital for the South out of the very repugnance and soreness which its own prolonged insolence towards this country had excited, turning against the North that feeling on which it had naturally counted as a bond of amity.

2. For these reasons I think the comments of *The Mercury* essentially unfair, but I also think them superficial; for does the writer really think that the feeling which prevails in this country on the American contest is sufficiently accounted for by newspaper articles? Does he learn its tone from the *Herald* and a few more papers? Had I no knowledge whatever of the facts, my opinion of English sense and temper would prevent me for a moment from giving credit to such a notion. If the writer in *The Mercury* would only read carefully a few of the distribes in *The Times*, *The Morning Post*, *The Saturday Review*, and, above all, those of the tory press, I can hardly doubt that he will discover a far deeper chord of sympathy with Southern aims than that which common hatred could furnish. Mere exasperation at low ribaldry never produced such unflagging energy of captious and trenchant criticism, such a sustained torrent or fierce, unsparing denunciation, as those papers have now for more than a twelve month poured forth.

No, the real cause lies deeper than this. It is to be found in the distaste for American institutions which has always inspired an influential portion of English society; but which Mr. Bright's unmerited abuse of the English aristocracy, and equally unmerited eulogy of the model republic, had, just before the American civil war broke out, brought to the point of positive disgust and hatred. It is to be found again in the serious (though, as I believe, quite unnecessary) apprehension of the growing might of the gigantic federation; and, lastly, it is (I fear to no inconsiderable extent) to be found in real liking for the social system of the South, or, if this be too strong a statement, at least in preference for it as an alternative to that of the Northern States; for I am by no means of the opinion of the writer in *The Mercury*, that the sympathy manifested in this country for the South is free from all taint of pro-slavery feeling. If the writer thinks so, let him look to the speeches and publications of Mr. Beresford Hope, to the article in *The Times*, and, last of all, to Mr. Woods, the Valladighams, the Bennetts, the Brookses—to gain victories over the South.

Whatever men *mean*, votes for Seymour *are* votes for rebellion. They work for Richmond and not for Washington. They will injure every friend of the Union who shall read these lines to give his most earnest and ardent effort to the support of Mr. Lincoln and the government, by defeating men who carry a white flag hidden, to be unfurled the moment they have power.

And may we not invoke and beseech the President to give such tokens of energy in the campaign as shall enable his friends to maintain themselves before the people?

A few decisive acts of much-needed authority would save New York. Give the people evidence that there is life in earnest in the Cabinet and in the field, and they will crowd around the Administration and strike down all opposition. Without these the future is dark and stormy.—*H. W. Beecher, in The Independent.*

ENGLAND ON HER TRIAL.

DUBLIN, 74 Lower Mount Street, 22d Sept., 1862.

To the Editor of The Anti-Slavery Advocate.

MY DEAR SIR: I have read the article in the Leicestershire *Mercury*, and freely acknowledge the fair and truthful spirit in which it is written; nevertheless, it appears to me to be open, both in its reasoning and conclusion, to grave exception?

The writer represents the estrangement between the North and Great Britain as occasioned exclusively by Northern faults and short-comings. The people of this country were, he tells us, originally favorable to the Southern declaration of its success, but they have been alienated by the unreasonable violence and scurrility of the Northern press. I confess I think this account of the matter at once unfair and superficial; unfair, because it leaves wholly out of sight the provocation on the other side; and superficial, because it does not touch the more fundamental causes of the prevailing feeling. I will say a few words on both these points.

1. It is, perhaps, true, that at a very early stage of the business the majority of people in this country, so far as they had formed any opinion on the subject (which was to a very slight extent), were favorable to the North; but, on the other hand, there was always a considerable minority which felt the eagerness the prospect of a dissolution of the Union; and there was this difference between these two parties, that, while with the former the feeling was languid and found no distinct expression, with the latter it was strong, and was pronounced with the unmistakable emphasis. The writers of *The Times* and the *Saturday Review*, so early as April, 1861, were smiting but friendly towards the North, or rewarding the dissolution of its union; and even then in the habit of seeing the tory prints, but, judging from the line they have since taken, I cannot doubt that they were still more decidedly anti-Northern.

If, in point of fact, the sympathies of the two parties, that the Northern press turned upon us with no other provocation than our declaration of neutrality. Before that declaration had appeared, the press of this country had already powerfully swayed opinion on the inevitableness and desirableness of a separation; and, this being so, it was not unnatural that the Northern people should see in the declaration of neutrality (however reasonable that measure was in itself) a foregone conclusion unfavorable to them—a determination on the part of the government to sustain the views expressed by the press. The writer in *The Mercury* complains that "without waiting for the grounds of international law" on which the English government acted, the Northern people raised a cry of bitter anger. This was, doubtless, very unreasonable, but I think some allowance might be made for a nation in the throes of a great civil contest, by those who were in the midst of prosperity and peace criticize its conduct. Extreme sensitiveness to foreign opinion was, under such circumstances, not unnatural, more especially when it was felt that that opinion was a main element in the calculation of the rebels—when the belief of the South that king cotton would speedily bring English and French assistance had been loudly proclaimed. England, moreover, had been known as *par excellence* the slave-owning and slave-breeding nation; and if it was natural for the South to count upon the support of England on the score of cotton, it was not less natural—though perhaps somewhat more honorable to both parties—that the North should reckon on the good-will of England when engaged in the task of putting down a rebellion of slaveholders. It should be remembered, also, that the anti-British feeling of which *The Mercury* speaks was almost entirely confined to the more violent and scurrilous form, to a few Northern papers which were well known to be pro-slavery and Southern in their politics; a fact which the leaders of the British press, instead of recognizing and putting clearly before their readers (as the interests of truth required), deliberately and systematically kept out of sight. I would ask those who charge the whole Northern people with unprovoked hostility to Great Britain to reflect on the reception which, less than a month before the outbreak of the rebellion, had been given to the Prince of Wales by the Northern States—a reception which drew from *The Times* correspondent the observation that the one sentiment in which American readers have the interests of truth at heart, Queen Victoria. This, however, it was not now convenient to remember. It was resolved that the Union should be broken up; it was necessary for this end that the South should be encouraged and the North brought into contempt, and accordingly the papers which were selected and placed before the English people, as the true exponents of Northern views, were the New York *Herald* and *The Journal of Commerce*. Worse than this—putting out of sight the fact that the Constitution of the United States were composed for a long series of years of Southern men, those who favor the slave party in this country have endeavored (and they have succeeded) to fix their audience to make capital for the South out of the very repugnance and soreness which its own prolonged insolence towards this country had excited, turning against the North that feeling on which it had naturally counted as a bond of amity.

2. For these reasons I think the comments of *The Mercury* essentially unfair, but I also think them superficial; for does the writer really think that the feeling which prevails in this country on the American contest is sufficiently accounted for by newspaper articles? Does he learn its tone from the *Herald* and a few more papers? Had I no knowledge whatever of the facts, my opinion of English sense and temper would prevent me for a moment from giving credit to such a notion. If the writer in *The Mercury* would only read carefully a few of the distribes in *The Times*, *The Morning Post*, *The Saturday Review*, and, above all, those of the tory press, I can hardly doubt that he will discover a far deeper chord of sympathy with Southern aims than that which common hatred could furnish. Mere exasperation at low ribaldry never produced such unflagging energy of captious and trenchant criticism, such a sustained torrent or fierce, unsparing denunciation, as those papers have now for more than a twelve month poured forth.

No, the real cause lies deeper than this. It is to be found in the distaste for American institutions which has always inspired an influential portion of English society; but which Mr. Bright's unmerited abuse of the English aristocracy, and equally unmerited eulogy of the model republic, had, just before the American civil war broke out, brought to the point of positive disgust and hatred. It is to be found again in the serious (though, as I believe, quite unnecessary) apprehension of the growing might of the gigantic federation; and, lastly, it is (I fear to no inconsiderable extent) to be found in real liking for the social system of the South, or, if this be too strong a statement, at least in preference for it as an alternative to that of the Northern States; for I am by no means of the opinion of the writer in *The Mercury*, that the sympathy manifested in this country for the South is free from all taint of pro-slavery feeling. If the writer thinks so, let him look to the speeches and publications of Mr. Beresford Hope, to the article in *The Times*, and, last of all, to Mr. Woods, the Valladighams, the Bennetts, the Brookses—to gain victories over the South.

Whatever men *mean*, votes for Seymour *are* votes for rebellion. They work for Richmond and not for Washington. They will injure every friend of the Union who shall read these lines to give his most earnest and ardent effort to the support of Mr. Lincoln and the government, by defeating men who carry a white flag hidden, to be unfurled the moment they have power.

And may we not invoke and beseech the President to give such tokens of energy in the campaign as shall enable his friends to maintain themselves before the people?

A few decisive acts of much-needed authority would save New York. Give the people evidence that there is life in earnest in the Cabinet and in the field, and they will crowd around the Administration and strike down all opposition. Without these the future is dark and stormy.—*H. W. Beecher, in The Independent.*

ENGLAND ON HER TRIAL.

DUBLIN, 74 Lower Mount Street, 22d Sept., 1862.

To the Editor of The Anti-Slavery Advocate.

MY DEAR SIR: I have read the article in the Leicestershire *Mercury*, and freely acknowledge the fair and truthful spirit in which it is written; nevertheless, it appears to me to be open, both in its reasoning and conclusion, to grave exception?

The writer represents the estrangement between the North and Great Britain as occasioned exclusively by Northern faults and short-comings. The people of this country were, he tells us, originally favorable to the Southern declaration of its success, but they have been alienated by the unreasonable violence and scurrility of the Northern press. I confess I think this account of the matter at once unfair and superficial; unfair, because it leaves wholly out of sight the provocation on the other side; and superficial, because it does not touch the more fundamental causes of the prevailing feeling. I will say a few words on both these points.

1. It is, perhaps, true, that at a very early stage of the business the majority of people in this country, so far as they had formed any opinion on the subject (which was to a very slight extent), were favorable to the North; but, on the other hand, there was always a considerable minority which felt the eagerness the prospect of a dissolution of the Union; and there was this difference between these two parties, that, while with the former the feeling was languid and found no distinct expression, with the latter it was strong, and was pronounced with the unmistakable emphasis. The writers of *The Times* and the *Saturday Review*, so early as April, 1861, were smiting but friendly towards the North, or rewarding the dissolution of its union; and even then in the habit of seeing the tory prints, but, judging from the line they have since taken, I cannot doubt that they were still more decidedly anti-Northern.

If, in point of fact, the sympathies of the two parties, that the Northern press turned upon us with no other provocation than our declaration of neutrality. Before that declaration had appeared, the press of this country had already powerfully swayed opinion on the inevitableness and desirableness of a separation; and, this being so, it was not unnatural that the Northern people should see in the declaration of neutrality (however reasonable that measure was in itself) a foregone conclusion unfavorable to them—a determination on the part of the government to sustain the views expressed by the press. The writer in *The Mercury* complains that "without waiting for the grounds of international law" on which the English government acted, the Northern people raised a cry of bitter anger. This was, doubtless, very unreasonable, but I think some allowance might be made for a nation in the throes of a great civil contest, by those who were in the midst of prosperity and peace criticize its conduct. Extreme sensitiveness to foreign opinion was, under such circumstances, not unnatural, more especially when it was felt that that opinion was a main element in the calculation of the rebels—when the belief of the South that king cotton would speedily bring English and French assistance had been loudly proclaimed. England, moreover, had been known as *par excellence* the slave-owning and slave-breeding nation; and if it was natural for the South to count upon the support of England on the score of cotton, it was not less natural—though perhaps somewhat more honorable to both parties—that the North should reckon on the good-will of England when engaged in the task of putting down a rebellion of slaveholders. It should be remembered, also, that the anti-British feeling of which *The Mercury* speaks was almost entirely confined to the more violent and scurrilous form, to a few Northern papers which were well known to be pro-slavery and Southern in their politics; a fact which the leaders of the British press, instead of recognizing and putting clearly before their readers (as the interests of truth required), deliberately and systematically kept out of sight. I would ask those who charge the whole Northern people with unprovoked hostility to Great Britain to reflect on the reception which, less than a month before the outbreak of the rebellion, had been given to the Prince of Wales by the Northern States—a reception which drew from *The Times* correspondent the observation that the one sentiment in which American readers have the interests of truth at heart, Queen Victoria. This, however, it was not now convenient to remember. It was resolved that the Union should be broken up; it was necessary for this end that the South should be encouraged and the North brought into contempt, and accordingly the papers which were selected and placed before the English people, as the true exponents of Northern views, were the New York *Herald* and *The Journal of Commerce*. Worse than this—putting out of sight the fact that the Constitution of the United States were composed for a long series of years of Southern men, those who favor the slave party in this country have endeavored (and they have succeeded) to fix their audience to make capital for the South out of the very repugnance and soreness which its own prolonged insolence towards this country had excited, turning against the North that feeling on which it had naturally counted as a bond of amity.

2. For these reasons I think the comments of *The Mercury* essentially unfair, but I also think them superficial; for does the writer really think that the feeling which prevails in this country on the American contest is sufficiently accounted for by newspaper articles? Does he learn its tone from the *Herald* and a few more papers? Had I no knowledge whatever of the facts, my opinion of English

willingly of all in great emergencies. Boldness and decision command, often even in evil, the respect and concurrence of mankind. How much more in good!

There is a measure needing courage to adopt and enforce it which I believe to be of virtue sufficient to redeem the nation in this its darkest hour: one only; I know of no other to which we may rationally trust for relief from impending dangers without and within.

The dangers which threaten us are twofold: First, from the Confederate forces, composed of men whose earnest convictions and reckless fanaticism is life to deny. Secondly from our resources, compelled to make use of a military power of proportions so gigantic that no nation ever permitted the existence of such without more or less risk to the people who employed it. If we think lightly of any of the latter danger, we slight the teachings of all past time.

As to the first: Have you not had your moments of doubt whether we are to succeed at all?—whether the Union, once so glorious, will ever be reunited?—whether peace, which we used to enjoy with as little gratitudeless we do the sunlight or the air we breathe, will ever again settle down over our distracted country?

If I have doubts of all this, it is only because I do not know what your action will be. We have at our disposal the means of certain success; but I cannot tell whether, while there is yet time, you will decide to employ them.

Our enemies, like the Grecian hero, have one vulnerable point. You have not touched it yet. What should have been their element of weakness has been suffered to remain an element of strength.

They have nearly a million of able-bodied men of fit age for war or for labor. Holding these men in bondage, and employing them to till the soil, they are enabled to send to the battle-field almost their entire white male adult population, yet preserve their commissariat sufficiently complete.

It has always been a great wrong that these men and their families should be held in bondage. We are now North have hitherto acquiesced in it, kept in the endeavor to redress it in violation of the Constitution, greater evils might ensue.

But the time has come when it is constitutional to redress it. The rebellion has made it so. Property in man, always morally unjust, has become nationally dangerous. Property which is the source of danger of a nation should not be suffered to remain in the hands of its citizens. A Chief Magistrate who permits it so to remain becomes responsible for the consequences. For he has the right, under the laws and the Constitution, to take away property, with just compensation offered, for public use, whenever it is apparent that any public exigency demands such appropriation.

Forgive what may seem our speech if I say that, in my judgment, a President with a just sense of duty has no option in such a case.

In the due exercise of your official power, in strictest accordance with the laws of the Constitution, you can deprive the enemy of that which, above all else, has given, and still gives him, aid and comfort. You can declare emancipated his slaves, the suppliers of his commissariat. Gradually, you can deprive him of these; and how vast the number of thousand productive laborers he loses, you may have a hundred thousand soldiers. With their aid you can reach the rest. What, then, remains for him? He must risk his ranks to cultivate his plantations. He must labor for his own food, or must perish for the lack of it.

The people are forbidden to give aid and comfort to rebels. What of a government that has the power to cut off from aid and comfort all the rebels of the South, and fails to exercise it?

We ought to do that which is right, even if the recompense be distant and uncertain; but we add folly to injustice if we neglect a great act of beneficence that is to be rewarded, even now, by our own preservation.

Yet again. Can you look forward to the future of our country, and imagine any state of things in which, with slavery still existing, you have the assured of permanent peace? I cannot. We can constitutionally extirpate slavery at this time. But if we fail to do this, then, unless we intend hereafter to violate the Constitution, we shall have to fight to Slave in her operation whenever the war is over. Shall the North have sacrificed a hundred thousand lives and two thousand millions of treasure to come to that at last? Not even a guaranty of peace purchased is so an enormous price. After vast efforts and exertions on the part of our people to which the history of the world furnishes no parallel, is the old root of bitterness still to remain in the ground to sprout and bear fruit in the future as it has borne fruit in the past?

[illegible] are addressed to you. [illegible] your duty [illegible] other [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

which time has been from our candles exposed. Nevertheless, as we are sensible of our weakness, we cannot be blind to the fact that to keep any one class or description of human beings, may it be ourselves in the image of the Highest, as brutes and merchandize, is sure to make havoc in us of all that distinguishes our nature. Here is a fact to which we are fearfully insensible. To think otherwise, to imagine that we can treat human beings, made in the image of chattels without deadly injury to ourselves, without depressing the most sacred principles of our being, is to confound the most vital distinctions. It is to imply that the gravest errors of thought and of practice are as harmless, nay, as beneficial, as the purest truth. It is to fancy that we can handle pitch without being defiled, and thrust our fingers into the fire without being burned, and that we can preserve our humanity unimpaired while we are cherishing the most inhuman sentiments, and giving our countenance to the most inhuman practices. It is an absolute impossibility. There is no nature that can resist this effect. Indeed, the finest and most susceptible natures are the surest to be depraved by such gross errors. In the barbarizing influence of slaveholding upon those concerned in it more manifest at this hour in any class at the South than in the women? In talking of the evils of the system of domestic bondage, we are wont to dwell chiefly upon the miserable condition of the oppressed, overlooking, or, at the best, very inadequately estimating the worse influence under which the oppressing class suffers. It is twice cursed. It turns the slave into a beast, and the master, though he wears an angel of light, into a demon. It must be so in the very nature of things, or there is no difference between light and darkness, truth and falsehood. Right, recognized and obeyed, purifies and elevates. Wrong corrupts and degrades. And so great a wrong as the treating of human beings—our brothers and sisters, made so by God—as articles of property, must inevitably debase and blight to its deepest degree. This is what the Southern people have been doing. This is what the Southern people have been doing. This is what the Southern people have been doing

Miscellaneous Department.

FOR THE ANTI SLAVERY STANDARD.

QUESTIONINGS.

SENTRY, at your silent post,
As you pace the hours away,
Waiting for the tapping sleet,
Tell us what you think of most?
—Questioner, as here I stand
At my post of danger, know
All my thoughts are of the foe
Ranged against my homeland.

Sentry, is there not a hearth,
Sacred made by loved ones there,
Who would fain thy danger share
Everywhere upon this earth?
—Questioner, my native land
Has the first right to my arm;
Gon will shield from earthly harm
Those who in Home's circle stand.

Sentry, is there not a foe
Greater far than these who stand
In rebellion through the land,
In the darkness lying low?
—Questioner, a shadow lies
Over and against our host,
From the center to the coast,
Darker than the midnight skies!

Sentry, dare you speak its name?
Sentry, dare you utter here,
Without falter, without fear,
Where on this fearful shadow came?
—Questioner, my claim to say
I have gained by battle-fight!
And the foes we ought to fight
Are not those in arms to-day!

Sentry, you a problem give!
Yet a glimmering of truth
Beams above the wrong and ruth;
Will the nation die or live!
—Questioner, one word alone
Solves the problem of the war!
Utter it from shore to shore,
Utter it from zone to zone.

Sentry, see! the shadows rise!
And the NEW YEAR soon will dawn
When EMANCIPATION'S MORN
Strikes the curse of its disguise!
—Questioner, now nothing 'lies!
Thus the nation breaks its chains;
Slavery th' old year retains,
FREEDOM crowns the new-born YEAR!

WILLIAM E. PABOR.

Harlem, N. Y., Oct. 13th, 1862.

A SALE OF SLAVES.

[From "A Merchant's Story," in *The Continental Monthly for November*, by the author of "Among the Pines."]

THE negroes were seated on a fallen pine, in a remote quarter of the ground, and were chained together by the wrists, in gangs of four or five, the outside one having one hand secured by a cord bound about the waist. The men wore woollen hats, and the women neat Madras turbans, and both had thick linsey clothing, warm enough for any weather. Their dusky faces were sleek and oily, and their kinky locks combed as nicely as nature would permit. The trader had "rigged them up," as a jockey "rigs up" his horses for market.

Pausing before a brawny specimen of the yellow species, he said, "That, Kirke, is a prime hand. He's a boy that can mix thet kin work—ten thousand boxes (turpentine) easy. He's the sort. Prime stuff *dust*—(feeling of his arms and thighs)—hard—hard as stun—sinews like rope. Come o' good stock, he did—the old Devereaux fit, you know—a high-toned family in those parts)—they's the real quality—none of yer shams or mushrooms, but genuwine 'stocracy—blamed if they hainit. What d'ye say ter him?"

"Well he moight do, p'raps, but I rather reckon ye've done him up some; 'lied his face, greased his wool, and sech like. It's all right, ye know—only things far in trade; but ye kan't come it over me, old feller. I'm up ter such doin's. I *am*, Mr. ——," and I would for him a finish which I cannot print. It don't sound me some glasses ter onst—d'ye har? Go."

"Yes, I knows—lied 'em inside and out, haint ye?"

"No, on my soul—only one glass ter day—true as preachin'."

"Boy," I said to the yellow man, "how much whiskey hev yer drunk to-day. Now, tell the truth."

"Never drop, massa; had a mouffol o' *sperrets*—a berry little moufful—dat's all."

"Taint 'nough, Larkin! Come, now, doan't he mean with nigs. Give 'em some more—some o' thet tall brandy o' yourn; a good swig. They kan't stand it out har in the cold without a little warming up."

"Well, I'm blamed of I won't. Har, you, Jim," speaking to a well-dressed darky standing near. "Har, go ter thet red-headed woodpecker, thar at the cabin, and tell him I'll smash his head in if he doan't

"Yes," I replied, dropping the accent which my blunder had rendered useless; "I *am* a Northerner; but I want a nurse, notwithstanding, for a friend."

"Whar d'ye live?" asked the trader, in the same free, good-natured tone as before.

"In New York."

"In York! What! Yer not Mr. Kirke of Randolph, Kirke & Co.? But, blamenation, ye *ar!* How them whiskers has altered ye! I *thort* I sezd ye afore. Haint ye cum it over me slick? Tuk in clean, swallered hull. But thar's my hand, Mr. Kirke; I'm glad ter see ye."

"Where have you met me, my good fellow? I don't remember *you*."

"Down ter Orleans. Sezd ye inter Roye, Struthers & Co.'s? The old man thinks a heap o' you; ye give him a pile of business, doant ye?"

"No, not much of our own. They buy cotton for our English correspondents, and negotiate through us, that is all. Roye is a fine old gentleman."

"Yes, he ar; I 'member ye with him."

"How ta with bim?"

"Why, in this business—we go snacks; I do the huyin', and he finds the rocks. We use a pile—sometimes a hun'red, sometimes two hun'red thousand."

"Is it possible? Then you do a large business?"

"Yes, right smart; I handle 'bout a hundred—big and little—ov'ry year."

"That is large. You do not buy and sell them all, yourself, do you?"

"Oh, no. I hardly ever sells; once in a while I run sgin a huyer, *like you*—ha! ha!—and let one drap; but gin'rally I cage 'em, and when I git hond hun'red ready, I take 'em down ter Orleans, and auction 'em off. That's no fuss and dicker 'bout thet, ye knows."

"Yes. I know. But how do you manage so large a gang." I should think some would get away."

"No, they doant. I put 'em in ribands ou em; and, sides, ye see them boys thar," pointing to three splendid specimens of property, loitering near; "I've hed them boys nigh on ter ten year, and I hain't missed a nary a big sense I had on. They're cuter and smarter nor I am, any day."

"Then you pick the negroes up round the country, and send them to a rendezvous, where you put them in jail till you make up your number?"

"Yes, the boys takes 'em down ter the pen. I'm picking some up round har now, ye see, and I send em ter Goldsboro. When I've toted these down thar, the boys and I'll go up ter Virginny."

"Why don't you send them on your stage? I should think it would hurt them to camp out at this season."

"Hurt us! Lord bless ye, fresh air never hurt a nig; they'rs never so happy as sleeping on the groun', with nothin' over 'em, and thar heels close ter a light-wood fire."

"But the delicate house-woman and the children, can they bear it?"

"I 'low it's a trifle hard on them, but it don't last long. I sellers takes ter the railroad when I gets a gang together."

"Well, come, I want a woman. Show me all you have."

"Do ye mean so, really, Mr. Kirke? I thort ye was a comin' it on me, and I swar ye does do the Suthern like a native. I'm blamed of I didn't s'pose ye blonged round har. Ha, ha! How the ole man 'ud laff ter hear us!"

"But I *am* a native, Larkin; born within sight of Bunker Hill."

"Yes, thet kind o' native; and them's the sort, too. They make all-fired smart spec'lators. I know a feller on 'em, Sharp's how he made thar pile, and hain't older'n I am, nother."

"Is it possible. Yankees in this business?"

"Yes, lots on 'em. Some on yer big folks up ter York and Bostin are in it deep; but they go inter 'partic' line, gin'rally, and that—d—d if *I'd* do it anyhow."

"Well, about the woman. None of them will do; are they all you have?"

"No, I've got one more, but I've sort o' lotted har ter a young feller down ter Orleans. He told me ter git him jest sech a gal. She's 'most white, and brought up tender like, and them kind is high prized, ye knows."

"Yes, I know; but where is she—let me see her?"

"She's in the store;" and rising, he led the way to the

* * * * * * *

The Squire was pacing to and fro in the upper end of the store, where the women and children were seated on the low bench near the counter. Phyllis lifted her eyes to my face as I entered, with a hopeful, inquiring expression, but they fell again when the trader said: "That's the gal for ye, Mr. Kirke; the most perfectest gal in seven States; good an' anything, washin', iroun', nussin', breedin'; rig'larly fotched up; worth her weight in gold; d—d if she hain't." Turning then to Preston, he exclaimed: "Why, Squire, how ar ye?"

"Very well," replied my friend, coolly.

"How's times?" continued the trader.

"Very well," said Preston, in a tone which showed a decided distaste for conversation.

"Well, glad of it. I boord ye were hard put. Glad of it, Squire."

The Squire took no further notice of him; and, turning to his property, the trader said: "Stand up, gal, and let the gentleman see ye better. Ye hain't much of. Doan't look so down in the mouth, gal; this gentleman's got a friend that'll keep ye in the style ye's fotched up ter."

Phyllis rose and made a strong effort to appear composed.

"Now, Mr. Kirke, luk at thet rig," said Larkin, seizing her rudely by the arm and turning her half round; straight's a rail. Look at thet ankle and limb—supple's a squirrel, and beautiful, too. I couldn't sicken har if ye put har ter hospetal work."

"Well, never mind. I see what she is. What's your price?"

"But ye hain't sood har, yit! She's puny-like, I knows, but she's solid, I reckon; thar hain a pound of loose stuff on har—its all muscle. See thar—jest look o' thet," and he stripped the sleeve of her dress to the elbow; "thar's a arm fur ye—whiter'n butter-milk, and harder'n cheese. Feel on't."

The poor woman submitted meekly to this rough handling of her person, but I said impatiently:

"I tell you, Larkin, I'm satisfied. Name your price. I've no time to lose; the stage will be along in five minutes."

"The stage! Lord bless ye, Mr. Kirke, it's broke down—twon't be har fur an hour—I knows. Now look o' thet," he continued, drawing the poor woman's thin dress tightly across her limbs, while he produced other disgusting exposures of her person; "look, him, with his disgusting exhibitions which it would be disgraceful even to describe. "Ye doan't mind, do ye, gal?" he added, chucking her under the chin in a rude, familiar way, and giving a brutal laugh. Phyllis shrank away as modestly as she could. She had evidently braced her mind to the ordeal, and was prepared to bear anything rather than offend him. I determined to stop any further proceeding, and said:

"I tell you, Larkin, I'm satisfied. I cannot waste more time in this manner. Name your price at once."

"Time! Mr. Kirke? why, yer time ain't worth nothin' jest now. The stage won't come down till dark. Ye hain't seed half on her yit. I doan't want ter sell ye a damaged article. I want ter show ye she's sound's a nut—*ye won't pay my price of I doan't.* Look a thar, now," and with a quick, dexterous movement, he tore open the front of her dress to the waist.

The poor girl, unable to use her hands, bent over nearly double, and strained the children to her breast to hide her shame. A movement at the other end of the room made me look up, and I saw the ole man with his jaws set, his hands clenched and his face on fire, he bounded toward the trader. In a moment he would have been upon him. My own blood boiled, but, knowing that an outbreak would be fatal to our purpose, I planted myself firmly in his way, and said, as I took him by the arm and held him by main force:

"Stand back, Preston; this is my affair."

"Yes, Squire," added the trader; "ye'd better be easy like. Ye'll only make a mess on it. If things is true, ye'll have ter begin on yer own nigs, mighty sudden."

"If I am brought to that," replied the Squire, with the calm dignity which was natural to him, "I shall treat them like human beings, not like brutes."

"Ye'll show em off the best low ye kiu; let ye alone fur that; I know yer bull parson tribe; thar haint nary a honest one amoor ye."

Preston turned silently away, as if disdaining to waste words on such a subject; and I said to the trader:

"Mr. Larkin, I've told you I've no time to lose. Name your price at once, or I'll not buy the woman at all."

"Well, jest as ye saw Mr Kirke. But ye see she's a rare un; would bring two thousand in Orleans, sure's a gun."

"I saw! you know be ter than that; but, came down to business."

"What, for the hull, or the 'ooman alone?"

"Either way; I've no particular use for the children, but I'll buy them if they."

"Oh! I—but—no 'cred the little gir'l taking hold of my coat; "do buy us—please, good massa."

"Shet up, ye young whelp," said the trader, raising his whip.

The little thing shrank back affrighted, and commenced sobbing as she said no more.

"Well, Mr. Kirke, she hez cost me sixteen fifty, hard rocks, and thars dirt cheap, 'cause she comes alone 'll bring more'n ther. I couldn't hev bought har fur thet, but har owner was hard up. Ye see he's Gin'ral ——down ter Newbern, one of yer har 'ristocrats, the real ole-fashioned sort—keeps a big plantation, house to town; fine wines; fine wimmin; fast hosses; and goes it mighty strong. Well, he's allers a tradin' round, and one day he's thousand short; and ev'ry year I buy a couple or so of nigs of him ter make it up. He's a particeler friend of mine, ye see; he thinks a heap of me —he does. Well, when I gets 'long thar t'other day, he says he's Lark' (he allers calls me Lark; thet's the name I goes by 'mang my intimate 'quaintance), 'Well,' says he, 'Lark, thar's Phylly. I want ye ter take her. She's the likeliest gal in the settlement. Old Virginy blood, father one of the real old stock. Ye knows she's right, good ev'ry way, prays like a camp meetin', and virtuous ter kill; thar ain't none round har that's up to har at thet—tried ter cum round har myself, but I couldn't git no rock—won't hev 'em from me, and'll stick ter him like death; jest the gal fur one on them New Orleans bloods as wants one thet'll be true ter 'em. Do ye take, Lark?' says he. 'Well, I do,' says I, 'and I know just the place fur her; one of your real high-flyers—rich's a Jew—twenty thousand a year—lives like a prince —got one or two on 'em now; but he says to me, when I comes off, 'Lark,' says he, 'find me a gal, rather yaller, that's a nat, and not bigger'n a cotton bale.' 'Wall,' says I, 'I will, and, Gin'ral, Phylly's the gal! She'll hev good times, live like a queen, hev wines, dresses, hosses, operas, and all them sort o' things—ye know them ar fellers don't stand fur trifles.' 'I knows, Lark,' says the Gin'ral, 'and bein' it's so, ye kin take her, Lark; but I wouldn't sell har ter ary nother man livin'—if I would, d—n me. Ye kin hev har, Lark, but ye must take the young 'uns; she's got two, ye know, and it hasn't Christian-like ter sell 'em apart.' 'D—n the young 'uns, Gin'ral,' says I, 'I kan't do nary a thing with them. What'll one of them young bloods want o' them? They goes in fur home natur' facturers.' 'Yes, I knows, Lark,' says he, 'but ye kin sell 'em off thar—ony plaster'll buy 'em—they'll pay ter raise. They're two likely little gals, ye knows, honest born, white father, and'll make han'some wimmin—han'some ter that; mother, one thet's higher when they's grow'd—ye'd better take 'em, Lark. If ye doan't, I'm d—d if I'll sell ye the mother; fur, ye see, I *must* have the hull vally, now that's honest.' 'Wall, Gin'ral,' says I, 'ye allers talks right out, that's what I likes in ye; but what's the price?' 'Wall,' says he, 'bein' it's ye, and ye've a good master in yer eye for Phyly, I'll say two thousand fur the lot—the gal alone'll fotch twenty-five hundred down ter Orleans.' 'Well,' says I, 'I'll tote how it may, if these high-flyin' planters didn't run inter it, and hev ter pay up, nigger speculatin' wouldn't be worth follerin'. Well, I took the nigs, and shar they is; and bein' it's you, Mr. Kirke, and ye've got a eye for the ole man, you shall hev 'em lot fur a hundred and fifty more, or the 'ooman alone fur fifteen hundred; but ary nother white man couldn't tuch 'em fur loss'n two thousand—if they could, d—n me.'

The stage had not arrived, and I had submitted to this lengthy harangue, because I saw I could more certainly accomplish the purchase by indulging the humor of the trader. The suspense was, no doubt, agony to the husband of the woman and father of the children; but the delay seemed unavoidable. It was impatience, but the delay seemed unavoidable. It was difficult for Preston to control himself. He chafed like a chained tiger. At first he paced up and down the further side of the apartment, then sat down on a counter and paced the room again; then sat again sat down, every now and then glaring upon Larkin with a look of savage ferocity that showed the wild beast was rising in him. The trader once in a while looked toward him with a cool unconcern that indicated he had no fear of any violence, and perfect familiarity with such demonstrations.

Fearing an explosion, I at last stepped up to the Squire, and said to him in a low tone: "Let me beg of you to leave the room—*do*—you may spoil all." He made no reply, but did as I requested.

When he had gone, Larkin remarked, in a different way, "The Squire's got the devil in him—a sight o' devil. He made no 'count of the fotched nigs'—the handle—he is—I know him. I'd rather have six like 'fom on me, ony time, than one like him. But he kan't skeer me. The man doant breathe that kin turn Jake Larkin a hair."

"I see he has," I replied; "but why is he so interested in this woman?"

"Why, she was fotched up 'long with him—children together. He owned har till he got into the nine-holes one day, and sold har ter the Gin'ral. I'll bet a bottle the Squire's got har now. He doant see as he do the pas'm hook. Ha! ha!" and he laughed his brutal laugh, as, chucking Phyllis under the chin, he asked, "Doant he, gal?"

She shrank away from him, but said nothing.

"Doan't he squarrel, gal; out with it; we'll think the more on ye fur't. Arn't the young ones his'n? Didn't ye b'long ter the Squire till he got so d—d pious five year ago?"

"Yes, massa, I belonged to him; Master Robert was allers pious."

"Yes, I knows; he was allers preachin' pious. But didn't ye b'long ter him—ye knows what I means—till he got so d—d camp-meetin' pious five years ago?"

"Master Robert was allers camp-meetin' pious," replied the woman, looking down, and drawing her thin shawl more closely over her open bosom.

"Well," said Larkin, "ye kin spard ye's gif nothin' out o' har, but it's so-sartain I ev'ry 'un says so; and what ev'ry 'un says arn't more'n a mile from the truth. Jest look o' that little 'un. Doan't ye see the Squire's eyes and forrerd thar?" and he took the little girl roughly by the chin and turned her face toward mine. The lower part of her features were like her mother's, but her eyes, hair and forehead were Preston's!

"Yes, I see," I said; "but you spoke of two little girls; where is the other?"

"Well, you see, I hought 'em both, and the Gin'ral give me hill of sale o' 'em; but when we come to look arter the young 'un in the mornin', she'd vanished thar. The Gin'ral—she's a 'oman for me—a hull team—she makes him stan' round, I reckon. Well, she'd a likin' for the little 'un, and she swoore she shouldn't be sold. She told me ter my face she'd packed her off what I couldn't git har, somehow; and she said she'd raise the town, and hev me driv' out, if I 'tempted it."

"What did you do, then?" I asked.

"Well, ye know the Gin'ral's a honerable man, so, when he seed his 'oman was set thet way, he said she should hev her way; so we sent the child more'n the gal, ony day. His mother took on ter kill, cause the Gin'ral'd sort o' promised his'n for har, and she'd been a savin' up for buy him. But the Gin'ral is honerable ter his word, and he'll finch har way, and finally I guv in, and took another hill o' sale. And what d'ye ye think? I'd no more got it inter my pocket, fore the Gin'ral's 'oman pulled out a gold watch, two or three diamond pins, a ring or two, and some remmarks I kin's, and she's off. 'See thar, *Master* Larkin, then's what I got fur the little gal. *I'se* sold har—sold har this mornin', and guv the bill o' sale; and if the Gin'ral doan't catify it, he woan't git no rocks. I'm a woman for my purpose, I plantin' ye, and I'll hev my own done right by, I was.' Well, I told har she was ahead o' my time, and I put out rather sudden, I did. A 'oman's the devil; I'd rather trade with twenty men than one 'ooman, I swar."

When he spoke of her child, the slave woman burst into tears. Her emotion drowned the curiosity which had made me a partial listener to the trader's story, and recalled me to the business in hand. Both pangs of conscience and humanity kept the wretched girl so long on the rack, I said to him, "Well, Larkin, let's get through with this. Name your lowest price for the lot."

"Paps you'd as lief throw out the boy. I'll take off three hundred for him."

"Oh! doan't ye leab Ally, massa; buy Ally, too, massa; oh do, good massa," he cried, with an expression of keen agony such I had never till then seen in a child. He was a likely little fellow, with a bright, good-natured face, and a fully intelligent eye; and though I presumed Preston had no particular interest in him, I thought of his mother, striving herself of sleep and rest to save up the price of her boy, and I said: "No, I have taken a liking to him; I'll take the whole or none."

"Well, then, seventeen fifty, not a dime less. That's only a hun'red profit."

"Will a hundred profit satisfy you?"

"Yes, if a friend of the old man, and I hain't had 'em only four days."

I quietly sat down on the bench beside the list girl, and taking her hand in mine, and playing with the small fingers in a careless way, said: "Well, I will give you a hundred profit; but, Larkin," and I looked him directly in the eye, and smiled, "you cannot pretend to come the Yankee over me! I am one of them myself, you know, and understand such things. These people cost you twelve hundred—not a mill more."

"The h—ll they did! P'raps ye mean to say I lie!" he replied in an excited tone, his face reddening with anger.

"No, I don't. I merely state a fact, and you know it. So keep cool."

"It's a—d lie, sir. I doant keer who says it, he ain't ekalamed, now really excited.

"Come, come, my fine fellow," I said, rising and facing him; "skip the hard words, and don't get up too much steam—it might hurt you, *or your friends.*"

"What d'ye mean?" Speak out, Mr. Kirke, if yer doan't want ter hev me, say so, and have done with it. This was said in a more moderate tone. He had evidently taken my meaning, and feared he had gone too far.

"I mean simply this. This woman and the children cost you twelve hundred dollars four days ago. Preston wants them—*must* have them—and he will give thirteen hundred for them, and pay you in a year, with interest; that's all."

"Well, come now, Mr. Kirke, thet's liberal. arn't it! Suppose I doan't take it, what then?"

"Then Roye, Struthers & Co. will stop your supplies, *or I'll stop their's*—that's 'SARTAIN,'" and I laughed good-humoredly as I said it.

"Well, yer one on 'em, Mr. Kirke, thet's a fact;" and then he added, seriously, "but yer kan't mean to saddle my doings onter them."

"Yes, I will; and tell them they have you to thank for it."

"What," and he struck his forehead with his hand, "what a dangnation fool I was ter tell ye 'bout them!"

"Of course you were; and a greater one to say you paid sixteen fifty for the property. I'd have given fifteen hundred for them if you had told the truth. But come, what do you say; are tuey Preston's or not?"

"No, I kan't do it; kan't take Preston's note—'tain't worth a hill of beans. Give me the money, and it's a trade."

"Preston is cramped, and cannot pay the money just now. I'll give you my note, if you prefer it."

"Payable in York, interest and exchange?"

"Yes."

"Well, it's done. And now, d—n the nigs. I'll never buy ary 'nother good-lookin' 'un as long's I live."

I hope you won't," I replied, laughing.

He then produced a blank note and a bill of sale, and drawing from his pocket a pen and a small inkbottle, said to me: "Thar, Mr. Kirke, ye fill up the note, and I'll make out the bill o' sale. I'm bandy at such doins'."

"Give me the key of these bracelets first. Make out the bill to Preston—Robert Preston, of Jones County."

He handed me the key, and I unlocked the shackles.

"Now, Phyllis," I said, "it is over. Go and tell Master Robert."

She rose, threw her arms wildly above her head, and staggering weakly forward, without saying a word, entered the cabin. Yelping and leaping with joy, the yellow boy followed her; but the little girl came to me, and looking up timidly in my face, said: "O massa! Rosey so glad 'ou got mammy—Rosey so glad. Rosey luf 'ou, massa—luf 'ou wid a heap." I thought of the little girl I had left at home, and with a sudden impulse lifted the child from the floor and kissed her. She put her little arms about my neck, laid her soft cheek against mine, and burst into tears. She was not accustomed to much kindness.

I filled out the note and gave it to the trader; and, with the bill of sale in my hand, was about to go in search of Preston, when he and Phyllis entered the hall. I handed him the document, and glancing it over, he placed it in his pocket-book.

"Now, Larkin," I said, "this is a wretched business; give it up; there's too much of the man in you for this sort of thing."

"Well, p'raps you are right, Mr. Kirke; but I'm in it, and I kan't git out; but it seems to me it tain't no worse dealin' in 'em then ownin' 'em."

"I don't know. Is it not a little worse on the man himself? It not exactly, but it puts you behind your better feelings to be always buying and selling people that do not want to be bought and sold?"

"Well, p'raps it do; its a cussed business ony how. But thar's my hand, Mr. Kirke. Yer a gen'leman, I'll swar, if ye are from my'n, and I'll be d—d if we slick you done it! I likes ye the better fur it; and if Jake Larkin kin ever do ye a good turn, he'll do it. I allers takes ter a man that's honest, fur sure 'nough, and he gave my hand another of his powerful shakes.

"I thank you, Larkin; and if I can ever serve you, it will give me great pleasure to do so."

"I doan't doubt it, Mr. Kirke; I doan't; and I'll call on ye when I'm over kin do me ony good. Good-bye, ye want ter be with the Squire, good-bye;" and giving my hand another shake, he left the cabin.

Which was the worse—that coarse, hardened man, or the institution which made him what he was? It was many years before the trader and I met again. When we did, he kept his word.

LINES ON THE DEATH OF JAMES HOGG, THE ETTRICK SHEPHERD.

[A friend in Pennsylvania sends us the following poem of Wordsworth's for republication.]

WHEN first descending from the moorlands
I saw the stream of Yarrow glide
Along a bare and open valley,
The Ettrick Shepherd was its pride.

When last along its banks I wandered,
Through groves that had begun to shed
Their golden leaves upon the pathway,
My steps the Shepherd's grave did tread.

The mighty Minstrel breathes no longer,
'Mid mouldering ruins low he lies;
And death upon the braes of Yarrow
Has closed the Poet's honest eyes.

Nor has the rolling year twice measured,
From sign to sign its steadfast course,
Since every mortal power of Coleridge
Was from an earthly to a heavenly source.

The rapt one of the Godlike forehead,
The heaven-eyed creature sleeps in earth,
And Lamb, the frolic and the gentle,
Has vanished from his lonely hearth.

Like clouds that rake the mountain summits,
Or waves that owe no curbing hand,
How fast has brother followed brother
From sunshine to the sunless land!

Yet I, whose lids from infant slumbers
Were earlier raised, remain to hear
A timid voice, that says of sorrow,
"Who next will drop and disappear?"

Our haughty life is crowded with darkness,
The London with its eyes black wrath,
On which the wretched heart-sick Cowper
Could not endure to look for death.

Why should I faint or fear to live alone,
Since all must lose enjoyment, weep their hour,
And one by one, perform their part
With moan and groan and tear and smile,
Like good actors, quickly and well,
Parts which they shun after rehearsing,
Each other's mirth and misery?

WM. WORDSWORTH.

Rydal Mount, Nov. 30, 1835.

A FRANK ADMISSION.—Billy Ross is a great temperance lecturer, and at Rushville, Illinois, was preaching to the young on his favorite theme. He said:

"Now, boys, when I ask you a question you mustn't be afraid to speak right out and answer me. When you look around and see all these fine houses, farms, and cattle, do you ever think who owns them all now? Your fathers own them, do they not?"

"Yes, sir," shouted the hopeful voices.

"Well, where will your fathers be in twenty years from now?"

"Dead!" shouted the boys.

"That's right. And who will own all this property then?"

"Us boys!" shouted the urchins.

"Right. Now, tell me—did you ever, in going along the streets, notice the drunkards hanging around the saloon doors, wanting somebody to treat them?"

"Yes, sir, lots of them!"

"Well, where will they be in twenty years from now?"

"Dead!" exclaimed the boys.

"And who will be the drunkards then?"

"*I'a boy!*"

Billy was thunder-struck for a moment but recovering himself, tried to tell the boys how to escape such a fate.—*Harper's Monthly*

THE LAST OF THE BYRONS.—The dullness of London at this season of the year has been relieved for the public by the strange jumpings and the romances of the peerage. Last week there died at Brighton, at the early age of seventy-seven, Byron Noel, Baron of Ockham and Wentworth. The heir of a large fortune, the grandson and last direct representative of the greatest of English poets, the young peer had so the world might have judged a brilliant career before him. He was the son of Ada Lovelace, the poet's only daughter, and this is almost all that is known of his parentage. For some unexplained cause, and only faintly surmised, the young Baron never assumed his rank nor even took his seat in the House of Lords, never even made his appearance in the fashionable world. Very early in life he broke off his connection with his family, and, almost, not quite, disappeared from sight. Throughout the world, he served on board a ship as a common sailor, then as a supported himself as a hired laborer in a Yankee dock yard, and became engaged (if he was not actually married) to a bar maid in a sailor's public house in Wapping. Then, in the first bloom of the lungs, and he died suddenly by hemorrhage of the lungs. And the court papers mention his existence after years of silence. The last of the Byrons is dead; and the story of the latest descendant of that strange race is buried in the grave with him.—*London Letter.*

A THOUSAND YEARS.

A THOUSAND years! through storm and fire,
With vows that heaven will keep,
Till Alexander crowns the spire
Where Rurik lays the corner-stone.

The chieftain's sword, that cannot rust,
But rattles in constant battle grew,
Raised to the world a throne august,
A nation grander than the two.

Nor he alone; but those who have,
Through faith or deed, an equal part—
The subtle brain of Yaroslav,
Vladimir's arm, and Nicon's heart:

The later hands that built so well
The work sublime which these began,
And up from base to pinnacle
Reared the stupendous Church of man.

All these, to-day, are crowned anew,
And rule, in splendor, where they trod,
While Europe's children throng to view
Her holy cradle, Novgorod.

From Volga's banks, from Dwina's side;
From pine-clad Ural, dark and long;
Or where the foaming Terek's tide
Leaps down from Kasbek, bright with song:

From Altai's chain of mountain-cones;
Mongolian deserts, far and free,
And Amoor, through changing zones,
The Eastern and the Western sea.

To every race she gives a home,
And creeds are as gods as they be-shade;
Till, for beyond the dreams of Rome,
Her Cæsar's mandate is obeyed.

She blends the virtues they impart,
And holds within her life combined,
The patient faith of Asia's heart,
The force of Europe's restless mind.

She bids the nomad's wandering cease:
She binds the wild mountaineer fast,
Her plow-shares turn to bonnes of peace
The battle-foil of ages past.

And, nobler yet, she gives to know
Her future's task—nor knows in vain,
But strikes at once the generous blow
That makes her millions more than twain!

So, firmer-based, her power expands,
Nor yet has seen its crowning hour,
Still reaching to the struggling lands
That yearn to the effulging of Power.

Build up the storied bronze, to tell
The steps whereby this height she trod—
The thousand years that chronicle
The toil of Man, the help of God!

And may the thousand years to come—
The future ages, wise and free—
Still see her flag, and hear her drum,
Across the world, from sea to sea!—

Still find, a symbol stern and grand,
Her ancient eagle's strength unshorn,
One head to watch the farthest land,
And one to guard the land of morn!

BAYARD TAYLOR.

NOVGOROD, Russia, Sept. 20, 1862.

GARIBALDI TO THE ENGLISH NATION.

[The following translation of an address in Italian to the English nation appears in the London *Morning Post.*]

SUFFERING under repeated blows, both moral and physical, a man can more exquisitely feel both good and ill, hurl a malediction at the authors of evil, and consecrate to his benefactors unlimited gratitude and adhesion.

And I owe you gratitude, O English nation! and I feel it as much as my soul is capable of feeling it. You were my friend in my good fortune, and you will continue your precious friendship to me in my adversity. And God bless you. My gratitude is all the more intense, O kind nation! that it rises high above all individual feeling, and becomes sublime in the universal sentiment toward nations of which you represent the progress. You are the sacred asylum of the world, because you offer a safe shelter to the unfortunate from whatever side they may come, and you identify yourselves with the misfortunes of others you pity and help. The French or Neapolitan exile finds refuge in your hospitable land; the Spaniard finds sympathy and aid because he is unfortunate. The Hatnaus, the iron executioners of autocrats, will not be supported by the soil of your free country, nor will they breathe the air of your liberty. Your generous sons.

And what should we be in Europe without your dignified behavior? Autocracy can strike her exiled with impunity; but in other countries, where only a bastard freedom is enjoyed—where freedom is but a lie. But let one seek for it on the sacred ground of Albion. I, like so many others, seeing the cause of justice oppressed in so many parts of the world, did appeal to all human solutions that have ever reached me from the Summer Land. Yours truly, ELIZA W. FARNHAM.

New York, Sept. 25, 1862.

Advertisements.

AYER'S PILLS.—Are you sick, feeble, and complaining? Do you ask for a remedy? Are your system, stomach or feelings uncomfortable? These symptoms are often the prelude to severe illness. Your condition is carrying quicksand, and should be averted by a timely use of the right remedy. Take AYER'S PILLS, and cleanse out the disordered elements of which you complain. Nature, aided by this purgative, will instructed in health again. They stimulate the functions of the body into vigorous activity, purify the crime blood, and thereby expel disease. Hence, when this cathartic is taken, the whole organism, from the highest to the lowest, react upon themselves and the surrounding organs, bringing general regeneration, and restoring health and vigor. While a thousand ills, oppressed by the derangements, take AYER'S PILLS, and in a few days are restored to perfect health. Nothing like how directly they restore the natural action of the system, and with it the buoyancy and elasticity of health and vigor. This is as apparent in the torpid and common complaint, to also true in any of the more serious forms of disease which they are known to cure. Under the virtues of these PILLS will neglect to employ them when they are needed. Sugar-coated to please the taste, they are safe to take. Headache, Foul Stomach, Dysentery, Bilious Complaints, Indigestion, Derangement of the Liver, Rheumatism, Gout, Neuralgia, Worms, Piles, Dropsy, Ulcers, Erysipelas, Scrofula, Tumors, Tetter, Salt Rheum, Ringworm, and all other forms of skin disease, are speedily cured by their use. For children and the aged they are agreeable and effectual.

PREPARED BY DR. J. C. AYER & CO., LOWELL, MASS.

SOLD BY ALL DRUGGISTS EVERYWHERE.

Prepared by Dr. J. C. AYER & CO., Lowell, Mass.

$150. BEST PIANOS. $150.

J. C. HALL & CO., having removed to their new warerooms, 428 Broadway, are prepared to offer the public a rare opportunity. Having purchased the entire manufactured stock of the late firm of NEW SCALE HALLET & DAVIS, consisting of a large number of NEW SCALE full 7 octave rosewood piano-fortes, containing all the modern improvements, and warranted for six years, and a few Grand and Square pianos of the same manufacture, we are now selling them at the extraordinarily low price of ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS, cash. Also, a few second-hand pianos, from $50 to $100. Also, a few Melodeons, from $50 to $100. Also, a few Melodeons, from $50 to $100. Also, a few Melodeons